

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/045,940 | 10/19/2001 | Konrad Schafroth | 33952 | 2533 |
| 116 7 | 590 01/31/2003 | | | |
| PEARNE & GORDON LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| SUITE 1200 | R AVENUE EAST | | MISKA, VIT W | |
| CLEVELAND, OH 44114-1484 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2841 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/31/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | An | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summany | 10/045,940 | SCHAFROTH ET AL | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and | Vit W. Miska | 2841 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Thterview Summary | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| 7) Notice of Neterletices Cited (PTO-932) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 . | 5) Notice of Informal P | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |



§ Application/Control Number: 10/045,940

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

The last two claims presented are both numbered "29". The last claim, therefore, has been renumbered as claim 30.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art described at page 1, lines 8-17 in view of the Patent to Godat. The prior art description includes a watch movement with a generator the rotor of which is driven by a spring over a gear train, and an electronic regulating circuit. The Godat reference teaches lubrication with oil of the bearings of the gears of a watch movement (col. 2, lines 28ff). Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art having both references would have a suggestion of providing oiled bearings in the prior art watch/generator described in applicant's specification as a conventional structure of a watch movement gear train.



Application/Control Number: 10/045,940

Art Unit: 2841

The specific type of oil used as lubricant claimed by applicant, being an ozoneresistant type oil would be an obvious choice for one skilled in the art in view of the use
of such oils for lubrication. The example given in applicant's specification of Fomblin
Z25 is a well known lubricant and one skilled in the art would be familiar with uses
thereof for lubricating gear bearings.

2. Claims 1-29 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vit W. Miska whose telephone number is 703-308-3096. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 703-308-3121. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4900.

VM January 27, 2003

> Vit Miska Primary Examiner